UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,905	01/20/2005	Klaus Kock	2002P09019WOUS	7120
Siemens Corpor	7590 06/19/200 ration	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Prop	perty Department	MIAH, LITON		
170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/521,905	KOCK, KLAUS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LITON MIAH	2617	

	EIT OIT WID III	2017
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially red	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered it or other evidence is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Duc Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues, on page 5 and 6 of the remarks, that Karna does not teach or suggest "communication between the central communications apparatus and the signaling apparatuses is performed using a number of frequency bands". Applicant argues, on page 6 of the remarks, the rejection contends that Official Notice can be taken that applicants' claimed frequency range is well known in the art. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments, Karna discloeses use of a number of frequency bands. Karna uses a single clock frequency for communication and it also suggest that possible to use other clock pulse frequencies. As for claims 15, 16 and 23-25, the examiner takes Official Notice that using the frequency range and an OFDM method for communication is well known in the art. Applicant's failure to adequately traverse the Examiner's taking of Official Notice in the last Office Action is taken as an admission of the fact noticed (i.e., that is notoriously well known in the art to choose a frequency range from the range between 10 kHz and 150 kHz and to use an OFDM method for performing the communication). As for claims 17-19 and 22, Ward suggest that it could use upto ten frequencies for radio communication, hence the rejection is proper. Therefore, in view of the above, and having addressed Applicant's argument, the final rejection is maintained by the Examiner.